Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/045,303	STEPHENS, JAMES H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

Peling A. Shaw

2144



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,303	10/29/2001	James H. Stephens JR.	263550US8	1293	
22850 7590 08/16/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		SHAW, PELING ANDY			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2144		
	•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/045,303	STEPHENS, JAMES H.	STEPHENS, JAMES H.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_		
Peling A. Shaw	2144			

	Peling A. Shaw	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	` ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	· ·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.		II be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 12. Note that the other had before the Bischeine Continuation. 		n condition for allowa /	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/SB/08) Paper No(s).	$N \cap k$	2
	_ 1		*
	SUPERVIS TECHNI	VILLIAM VAUGHN ORY PATENT EXAMINA OLOGY CENTER 2100	J
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Aha Filima af an Anna 2 P. 1.1	CENTER 2100	GH
TOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	ORY PATENT EXAMINATION OF PART OF PA	per No. 20070807

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The proposed amendments include significant claim language modifications in independent and dependent claims, e.g. in claim 11 "... A computer storage medium storing instructions configured to cause a computing device to execute a method comprising: ..." and in claim 19 "The method of claim 17, further comprising obtaining a first endpoint ...; storing in the training set ...; using, by the modeling algorithm,, the first ..." that require further search and examination to properly determine the scope and the patentability of claimed invention. Thus the proposed amendments facilitate not to be entered.

WILLIAM MIGHN
SUPERVISORY FILMT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2



*RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2144

DOCKET NO: 263550US8

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

: EXAMINER: SHAW, P.

SERIAL NO: 10/045,303

JAMES H. STEPHENS

FILED: OCTOBER 29, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2144

FOR: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MODELING VIDEO NETWORK

RELIABILITY

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated May 1, 2007, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 10 of this paper.

8-11-2007